UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

In re:	Case No. 16-42529 CHAPTER 11
Peabody Energy Corporation, et al.,	(Joint Administration Requested)
Debtors. ¹	Hearing Date and Time: TBD
	Hearing Location: TBD

MOTION OF THE DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105(a) AND 363 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THEM TO HONOR CUSTOMER OBLIGATIONS AND FOR RELATED RELIEF

Peabody Energy Corporation ("PEC") and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby move this Court, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 363 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), for entry of an order: (a) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors, in their discretion and without further approval of the Court, to continue to perform in accordance with the terms of prepetition coal supply agreements (collectively, the "Customer Obligations"); and (b) granting certain related relief, and in support thereof, respectfully represent as follows:²

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Rule 81-9.01(B)(1) of the Local Rules of the United States

The Debtors and their employer identification numbers are listed on Schedule 1 attached hereto. The addresses for each of the Debtors are set forth in the Debtors' chapter 11 petitions.

Copies of the proposed orders will be made available on the Debtors' case website at http://www.kccllc.net/peabody

District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

Background

- 2. On April 13, 2016 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors commenced their reorganization cases by filing voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors are authorized to continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. Debtor PEC is a Delaware corporation headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri. PEC was incorporated in 1998 and became a public company in 2001. Each of the other Debtors is a wholly-owned direct or indirect subsidiary of PEC.
- 4. PEC is the world's largest private-sector coal company (by volume), with 26 active coal mining operations located in the United States and Australia. The Debtors' domestic mines produce and sell thermal coal, which is primarily purchased by electricity generators. PEC's Australian operations mine both thermal and metallurgical coal, a majority of which is exported to international customers. As of December 31, 2015, Debtor PEC and its subsidiaries' property holdings include 6.3 billion tons of proven and probable coal reserves and approximately 500,000 acres of surface property through ownership and lease agreements. In the United States alone, as of December 31, 2015, the Debtors held an estimated 5.5 billion tons of proven and probable coal reserves, and the Debtors generated sales of approximately 180 million tons of coal. In addition to its mining operations, the Debtors market and broker coal from other coal producers across the United States, Australia, Europe and Asia.
- 5. The Debtors operate in a competitive and highly regulated industry that has experienced strong headwinds and precipitously declining demand and pricing in recent

years due to the rise of low priced alternative energy sources — including an abundance of natural gas. Combined with these factors, slowing global economic growth drove a wide range of goods prices lower in 2015 and resulted in the largest broad market decline since 1991. Indeed, demand from electric utilities in the United States alone declined approximately 110 million tons in 2015. These market conditions, in connection with lower realized pricing in the United States and Australia, resulted in a 21.0 million ton decline in the Debtors' and their non-debtor subsidiaries' coal sales during 2015. As a result of these challenges, several large United States coal companies have filed for chapter 11 protection in recent years.

6. A comprehensive description of the Debtors' businesses and operations, capital structure and the events leading to the commencement of these chapter 11 cases can be found in the Declaration of Amy Schwetz, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Debtor PEC, in Support of First Day Motions of Debtors and Debtors in Possession (the "First Day Declaration"). In further support of this Motion and certain other requests for first day relief, the Debtors have filed the Declaration of Carlin Adrianopoli In Support of Certain First Day Motions (the "Adrianopoli Declaration"). Both the First Day Declaration and the Adrianopoli Declaration were filed contemporaneously herewith and are incorporated herein by reference.

The Customer Obligations

7. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors, in the ordinary course of their businesses, entered into various coal supply agreements ("Agreements") with coal buyers. The Agreements typically require the Debtors to deliver a specified quantity of coal and include a base price for each ton of coal of delivered. The base price makes certain assumptions about the quality of coal that will be delivered (e.g., BTU, ash, sulfur and moisture content of the coal). Because delivered coal rarely has the same quality specifications assumed in the base price, the

Agreements contain formulas for adjusting the base price due to variances between the delivered coal's quality specifications and the assumed quality specifications in the base price. Other adjustments need to be made from time to time such as volume adjustments if the Debtors were to discover a scale was miscalibrated.

- 8. In the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors issue separate invoices to their customers for the base price ("Base Invoices") and quality adjustments ("Quality Invoices"). Base Invoices generally are sent more frequently than Quality Invoices. Depending on the Agreement, the majority of Base Invoices are sent weekly or semi-monthly. Quality Invoices generally are sent monthly. Base Invoices are always positive. In most instances, Quality Invoices are too; the Debtors delivered coal typically is of a higher quality than the base price assumes. However, in certain instances, Quality Invoices can be negative. In those cases, the Quality Invoices are netted against a Base Invoice, and the customer pays the Debtors the difference.
- 9. These quality adjustments are a common practice in this industry given that producers rarely, if ever, can deliver coal with the precise specifications contained in a contract. These adjustments typically result in additional revenues for the Debtors since the Debtors usually deliver higher quality coal than the base specifications. Given where the Debtors currently are in their invoicing cycle, the Debtors intend to issue postpetition Quality Invoices respecting prepetition deliveries of coal. In the aggregate, the Quality Invoices, if paid, will result in substantial additional revenue to the estates. However, a few of the Quality Invoices may be negative. While it is difficult to estimate the prepetition amount of these quality adjustments, the Debtors estimate that they likely will issue postpetition invoices that include

negative quality adjustments respecting coal delivered prepetition in the approximate amount of \$500,000.

- 10. In addition, the Debtors' Agreement with Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.

 ("Duke") requires the Debtors to rebate a certain amount on each ton delivered under that

 Agreement. The rebates relate to an agreed-to base price change for previously delivered coal.

 In accordance with the Agreement, the Debtors include the rebates on Duke's Base Invoices

 where those rebates are netted against the base price, with the resulting Base Invoices always
 requiring payment to the Debtors. On average, the Debtors rebate approximately \$2.1 million

 each month. The Debtors' obligation to provide the rebate is backed by a letter of credit.
- 11. While the Debtors believe they have authority under the Bankruptcy Code to continue with their coal supply invoicing practices, out of an abundance of caution, they are requesting an order authorizing the Debtors to do so. The value of the Debtors' business and, thus, the ability of the Debtors to maximize stakeholder recoveries through a plan of reorganization is dependent upon the continued loyalty and confidence of their customers. Such loyalty is essential and in the best interest of the Debtors, their estates and their creditors. As evidenced by recent coal-industry chapter 11 cases, the Debtors operate in a highly competitive sector of the global economy that is under severe stress. Accordingly, delays in honoring, or possible cancellation of, Customer Obligations could severely damage the Debtors' relations with existing customers and impair their ability to generate new customers. The Customer Obligations are an indispensible component of the Debtors' coal sale contracts.

Argument

12. The Debtors submit that the entry of an order authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors, in their discretion and without further approval of the Court, to continue to honor their Customer Obligations, is necessary, in the best interests of the Debtors' estates and

creditor constituencies. Without this relief the Debtors risk losing current and prospective customers and business.

Section 363(b) Authorizes the Debtors to Honor Customer Obligations Respecting Coal Delivered Prepetition

- 13. Section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code allows a debtor, after notice and hearing, to "use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1). A debtor's decisions to use, sell or lease assets outside the ordinary course of business must be based upon a sound business purpose. See In re Channel One Commc'ns, Inc., 117 B.R. 493, 496 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1990) (citing Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.), 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983)); TLP Servs., LLC v. Stoebner (In re Polaroid Corp.), 460 B.R. 740, 741-42 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2011) (allowing a trustee, pursuant in part to section 363(b)(1), to use cash collateral to fund efforts to recover funds from third parties); accord Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.), 722 F.2d 1063, 1070-71 (2d Cir. 1983) (requiring a "good business reason" to approve a sale pursuant to section 363(b)); In re W.A. Mallory Co. Inc., 214 B.R. 834, 836 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1997) ("This Court follows the 'sound business purpose' test when examining § 363(b) sales.") (citing WBQ P'ship v. Va. Dep't of Med. Assistance Servs. (In re WBQ P'ship), 189 B.R. 97, 102 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1995)); Official Comm. of Unsecured Creditors of LTV Aerospace & Def. Co. v. LTV Corp. (In re Chateaugay Corp.), 937 F.2d 141, 143 (2d Cir. 1992) (holding that the sale of property of the estate is justified if it is supported by a good business reason); In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc., 100 B.R. 670, 675 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (noting that the standard for determining a motion pursuant to section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code is "a good business reason").
- 14. Courts have consistently been reluctant to interfere with corporate decisions unless "it is made clear that those decisions are, inter alia, clearly erroneous, made

arbitrarily, are in breach of the officers' and directors' fiduciary duty to the corporation, are made on the basis of inadequate information or study, are made in bad faith, or are in violation of the Bankruptcy Code." In re Farmland Indus., Inc., 294 B.R. 855, 881 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2003) (citing In re United Artists Theatre Co., 315 F.3d 217, 233 (3d Cir. 2003), Richmond Leasing Co. v. Capital Bank, N.A., 762 F.2d 1303, 1309 (5th Cir. 1985) and In re Defender Drug Stores, Inc., 145 B.R. 312, 317 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1992)); see also Crystalin, LLC v. Selma Props. Inc. (In re Crystalin, LLC), 293 B.R. 455, 463-64 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2003) (holding that the business judgment rule may be satisfied "as long as the proposed action appears to enhance the debtor's estate.") (quoting Four B. Corp. v. Food Barn Stores, Inc. (In re Food Barn Stores, Inc.), 107 F.3d 558, 566 n.16 (8th Cir. 1997) (emphasis in original, internal quotations and alteration omitted)); Four B. Corp. v. Food Barn Stores, Inc. (In re Food Barn Stores, Inc.), 107 F.3d 558, 567 n.16 (8th Cir. 1997) (holding that "[w]here the [debtor's] request is not manifestly unreasonable or made in bad faith, the court should normally grant approval 'as long as the proposed action appears to enhance the debtor's estate'") (quoting Richmond Leasing Co. v. Capital Bank, N.A., 762 F.2d 1303, 1309 (5th Cir. 1985)) (internal alterations and quotations omitted); Official Comm. of Subordinated Bondholders v. Integrated Res., Inc. (In re Integrated Res., Inc.), 147 B.R. 650, 656 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (finding that "[c]ourts are loath to interfere with corporate decisions absent a showing of bad faith, self-interest, or gross negligence").

15. As noted above and in the Adrianopoli Declaration, if the Debtors are not permitted to honor their Customer Obligations, customers may lose confidence in the Debtors, their products and their reliability. If customers do not believe that the Debtors will honor their obligations to them, it may be difficult for the Debtors to solicit new business (or additional business from existing clients, upon which coal companies such as Debtors particularly depend).

Much of the loyalty and customer goodwill that the Debtors have garnered over the years will could be lost if the Debtors fail to honor their Customer Obligations. Accordingly, the Debtors believe that honoring Customer Obligations respecting coal delivered prepetition is a sound and prudent exercise of their business judgment.

Section 363(c) Allows the Debtors to Continue to Honor Customer Obligations Respecting Coal Delivered Postpetition in the Ordinary Course of Business

- 16. Additionally, pursuant to section 363(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, a debtor, as debtor in possession, is authorized to "enter into transactions . . . in the ordinary course of business, without notice or a hearing, and may use property of the estate in the ordinary course without notice or a hearing." 11 U.S.C. § 363(c)(1). Section 363(c) "strik[es] the optimal balance between the interests of the debtor and the creditors" to "serve the 'overriding goal of maximizing the value of the estate." Habinger, Inc. v. Metro. Cosmetic & Reconstructive Surgical Clinic, P.A., 124 B.R. 784, 786 (D. Minn. 1990) (quoting United States ex rel. Harrison v. Estate of Deutscher (In re H&S Transp. Co.), 115 B.R. 592, 599 (M.D Tenn. 1990)). "The 'ordinary course of business' standard is intended to allow a debtor 'the flexibility it needs to run its business and respond quickly to changes in the business climate." Habinger, 124 B.R. at 786 (internal quotation marks omitted).
- However, bankruptcy courts within the Eighth Circuit have held that a transaction qualifies as "ordinary course" if it: (a) "is of a type that is commonly undertaken within the debtor's industry," Peltz v. Gulfcoast Workstation Grp. (In re Bridge Info. Sys., Inc.),

 293 B.R. 479, 486 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 2003), and (b) is ordinary and consistent with the reasonable expectations of creditors. Johnston v. First St. Cos. (In re Waterfront Cos.),

 56 B.R. 31, 35 (Bankr. D. Minn. 1985); see also In re Bridge Info. Sys., Inc., 293 B.R. at 486

(courts look to "whether interested parties would reasonably expect the particular debtor in possession to seek court approval before entering into the questioned transaction"). A "fundamental characteristic of an 'ordinary' post-petition business transaction is its similarity to a pre-petition business practice." In re Commercial Mortg. & Fin., Co., 414 B.R. 389, 394 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2009) (quoting Martino v. First Nat'l Bank of Harvey (In re Garofalo's Finer Foods, Inc.), 186 B.R. 414, 425 (N.D Ill. 1995); see also Comm. of Asbestos Related Litigants v. Johns-Manville Corp. (In re Johns-Manville Corp.), 60 B.R. 612, 617 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) (finding that "the nature of the debtor's business prior to its Chapter 11 filing is compared to its course of conduct postpetition"). In determining whether a transaction is ordinary, two relevant factors are the type of business a debtor is engaged in as well as the size and nature of the business and transaction in question. U.S. ex rel. Harrison v. Estate of Deutscher (In re H&S Transp. Co.), 115 B.R. 592, 598 (M.D. Tenn. 1990).

Obligations under section 363(c) of the Bankruptcy Code. Continuing to perform the Customer Obligations in the ordinary course of business will allow the Debtors to maximize the value of their estates by retaining customer loyalty and goodwill. As described in the Adrianopoli Declaration, the Debtors cannot afford any disruptions to their relationships with coal buyers and therefore must continue "business as usual" with these customers. This is especially true here given the competitive nature of the coal industry and the availability of coal from other producers at competitive prices. Customers expect the Debtors to continue to honor their Customer Obligations when selling coal under the Agreements with those customers. The relief requested by this Motion will ensure the Debtors are able to meet those expectations. In short, the benefits resulting from the Debtors' performing the Customer Obligations will outweigh any

costs associated with the Debtors doing so in the ordinary course of their businesses during these chapter 11 cases.

The Requested Relief is Authorized Under Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Doctrine of Necessity

- Obligations pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code empowers a bankruptcy court to issue "any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of" the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code gives the court has the power to take whatever action "is appropriate or necessary in aid of the exercise of [its] jurisdiction." 2 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 105.01 (Alan N. Resnick & Henry J. Sommer eds., 16th ed. 2015). "Under [section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code,] the court can permit pre-plan payment of a pre-petition obligation when essential to the continued operation of the debtor." In re NVR L.P., 147 B.R. 126, 127 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1992) (citing In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc., 98 B.R. 174, 177 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989)).
- 20. In addition, under the "doctrine of necessity," courts allow the immediate payment of prepetition claims where such payment is essential to the debtor's continued operations. See In re Wehrenberg, Inc., 260 B.R. 468 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 2001) ("Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) the Court may authorize the payment of pre-petition claims when such payments are necessary to the continued operation of the Debtor."); In re United Am., Inc., 327 B.R. 776, 782 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2005) (acknowledging the existence of the doctrine of necessity "because otherwise there will be no reorganization and no creditor will have an opportunity to recoup any part of its pre-petition claim"); accord In re Boston & Me. Corp., 634 F.2d 1359, 1382 (1st Cir. 1980) (recognizing the existence of a judicial power to authorize

trustees to pay claims for goods and services that are indispensably necessary to the debtors' continued operation).

- 21. The Debtors submit that the requested relief has a sound business purpose and is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm and is justified under sections 105(a), 363(b) and 363(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the doctrine of necessity. Honoring Customer Obligations for both prepetition and postpetition deliveries of coal is essential to the Debtors' continued operations during these chapter 11 cases. Without the requested relief, the Debtors would find it more difficult to maintain business as usual, resulting in an increased risk the Debtors would lose existing and potential customers to rival coal producers. Thus, as set forth herein and in the Adrianopoli Declaration, it is critical for the Debtors' reorganization efforts that the Debtors be authorized to honor their Customer Obligations.
- 22. Because the Customer Obligations are contractual, they will have to be honored in any event to the extent the Debtors elect to assume (or assume and assign) any of the Agreements in which the Customer Obligations are contained. The fact that many Customer Obligations may have to be honored in the future further supports the relief requested by this Motion. Moreover, with respect to those Agreements that (a) are critical to the Debtors' operations and (b) may expire in the near term, the Debtors' failure to honor Customer Obligations will impact those customers' willingness to continue to buy coal from the Debtors.
- 23. Bankruptcy courts in this District have entered orders authorizing chapter 11 debtors to honor prepetition Customer Obligations in numerous other cases. See, e.g., In re Noranda Aluminum, No. 16-10083 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. Feb. 10, 2016) (Docket No. 84) (order authorizing debtors to honor customer obligations and continue prepetition customer programs and practices in the ordinary course of business); In re Arch Coal, Inc., No. 16-40120

(Bankr. E.D. Mo. Jan. 14, 2016) (Docket No. 88) (order authorizing (a) the debtors to honor prepetition customer obligations and continue or terminate customer practices and (b) financial institutions to honor and process related checks and transfers); In re Bakers Footwear Grp., Inc., No. 12-49658 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. Oct. 9, 2012) (Docket No. 75) (ordering authorizing debtor to honor certain obligations to customers and to maintain customer loyalty programs); In re HISJ Holdings, L.L.C., No. 12-41985 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. Mar. 9, 2012) (Docket No. 20) (order granting debtors' motion to honor prepetition contracts and customer deposits); In re Sleep Center Mattress Co., LLC, No. 10-44892 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. May 19, 2010) (Docket No. 40) (order granting motion to honor prepetition customer obligations); accord In re Alpha Natural Res., Inc., No 15-33896 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Sept. 3, 2015) (Docket No. 349) (same); In re Walter Energy, Inc., No. 15-02741 (Bankr. N.D. Ala. July 15, 2015) (Docket No. 63) (same); In re Patriot Coal Corp., No 15-32450 (Bankr. E.D. Va. June 4, 2015) (Docket No. 241) (order authorizing the debtors to honor prepetition customer obligations in a manner consistent with past practices and continue, renew or terminate customer practices); In re James River Coal Co., No. 14-31848 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Apr. 10, 2014) (Docket No. 80) (same); In re Patriot Coal Corp., No 12-12900 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Aug. 2, 2012) (Docket No. 254) (same).³

Requests for Immediate Relief and Waiver of Stay

24. Pursuant to Rules 6003(b) and 6004(h) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), the Debtors seek the entry of an order granting the relief requested by this Motion and, to the extent it applies, a waiver of any stay of the effectiveness of such order .

Unreported orders cited herein are not attached to this Motion. Copies of these orders will be made available to the Court or other parties upon request made to the Debtors' counsel.

- 25. Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b) provides, in relevant part, that "[e]xcept to the extent that relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm, the court shall not, within 21 days after the filing of the petition, issue an order granting . . . a motion to use, sell, lease or otherwise incur an obligation regarding property of the estate including a motion to pay all or part of a claim that arose before the filing of the petition." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6003(b). In other words, where the failure to grant any such requested relief would result in immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtors' estates, the Court may authorize the relief prior to the 22nd day following the Petition Date. Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h) provides that "[a]n order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property other than cash collateral is stayed until the expiration of 14 days after entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004(h).
- 26. As set forth above, in the First Day Declaration and in the Adrianopoli Declaration, it is vital that court grant the relief requested herein and the Debtors submit that ample cause exists to justify: (a) the immediate entry of an order granting the relief sought herein pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b), to the extent it applies; and (b) a waiver of the 14-day stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), to the extent that it applies, with respect to the order.

Reservation of Rights

27. Nothing contained herein or in the proposed order is intended or should be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity or priority of any claim against the Debtors; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any party in interest's rights to dispute any claim on any grounds; (c) a promise to pay any claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim would constitute a Customer Obligation; or (e) a request to assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. Likewise, if the relief

requested herein is granted, any payment made by the Debtors on account of this Motion is not intended and should not be construed as an admission by the Debtors as to the validity of any claim or waiver of the Debtors' rights to subsequently dispute such a claim.

Notice

28. Notice of this Motion has been given to: (a) Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP and Bryan Cave LLP as counsel to Citibank, N.A. as Administrative Agent for the First Lien Secured Credit Facility and the Debtors' proposed debtor in possession secured credit facility; (b) Brown Rudnick LLP, as counsel to Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB as prospective trustee and collateral agent for the Secured Second Lien Notes; (c) Foley & Lardner LLP, as counsel to Wilmington Trust Company as prospective Indenture Trustee for the Unsecured Notes; (d) Robinson & Cole LLP, as counsel to U.S. Bank as resigning trustee and collateral agent for the Second Lien Notes, the Unsecured Notes and the Convertible Notes;⁵ (e) counsel to any ad hoc committees; (f) the Debtors' 50 largest unsecured creditors; (g) Mayer Brown LLP, as counsel to PNC Bank, N.A., as Administrator under the Debtors' prepetition accounts receivable securitization facility; (h) the United Mine Workers of America; (i) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Missouri; (j) the Internal Revenue Service; (k) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (1) the United States Department of the Interior; (m) the United States Department of Labor; (n) the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri; and (o) Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (collectively, the "Notice

These include the: (i) 6.00% Senior Notes due November 2018; (ii) 6.50% Senior Notes due September 2020; (iii) 6.25% Senior Notes due September 2021; and the (iv) 7.875% Senior Notes due November 2026.

These include the: (i) 6.00% Senior Notes due November 2018; (ii) 6.50% Senior Notes due September 2020; (iii) 6.25% Senior Notes due September 2021; (iv) 7.875% Senior Notes due November 2026; and the (v) Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures due December 2066.

<u>Parties</u>"). In light of the nature of the relief requested, the Debtors submit that no further notice is necessary.

No Prior Request

29. No prior request for the relief sought in this Motion has been made to this or any other Court in connection with these chapter 11 cases.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court: (i) enter an order substantially in the form submitted to the Court, granting the relief requested herein; and (ii) grant such other and further relief to the Debtors as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: April 13, 2016 St. Louis, Missouri Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven N. Cousins

Steven N. Cousins, MO 30788 Susan K. Ehlers, MO 49855 Armstrong Teasdale LLP 7700 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1800 St. Louis, MO 63105

Telephone: (314) 621-5070 Facsimile: (314) 612-2239

Email: scousins@armstrongteasdale.com Email: sehlers@armstrongteasdale.com

Heather Lennox (pro hac vice pending)

Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 Telephone: (216) 586-3939

Facsimile: (216) 579-0212 Email: hlennox@jonesday.com

Amy Edgy (*pro hac vice* pending) Daniel T. Moss (*pro hac vice* pending) Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 Telephone: (202) 879-3939

Facsimile: (202) 626-1700 Email: aedgy@jonesday.com Email: dtmoss@jonesday.com

Proposed Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

SCHEDULE 1

	Debtor's Name	Debtor's EIN Number
1.	Peabody Energy Corporation	13-4004153
2.	American Land Development, LLC	20-3405570
3.	American Land Holdings of Colorado, LLC	26-3730572
4.	American Land Holdings of Illinois, LLC	30-0440127
5.	American Land Holdings of Indiana, LLC	20-2514299
6.	American Land Holdings of Kentucky, LLC	20-0766113
7.	American Land Holdings of New Mexico, LLC	32-0478983
8.	American Land Holdings of West Virginia, LLC	20-5744666
9.	Arid Operations, Inc.	84-1199578
10.	Big Ridge, Inc.	37-1126950
11.	Big Sky Coal Company	81-0476071
12.	Black Hills Mining Company, LLC	32-0049741
13.	BTU Western Resources, Inc.	20-1019486
14.	Caballo Grande, LLC	27-1773243
15.	Caseyville Dock Company, LLC	20-8080107
16.	Central States Coal Reserves of Illinois, LLC	43-1869432
17.	Central States Coal Reserves of Indiana, LLC	20-3960696
18.	Century Mineral Resources, Inc.	36-3925555
19.	Coal Reserve Holding Limited Liability Company No. 1	43-1922737
20.	COALSALES II, LLC	43-1610419
21.	Colorado Yampa Coal Company, LLC	95-3761211
22.	Conservancy Resources, LLC	20-5744701
23.	Cottonwood Land Company	43-1721982
24.	Cyprus Creek Land Company	73-1625890
25.	Cyprus Creek Land Resources LLC	75-3058264
26.	Dyson Creek Coal Company, LLC	43-1898526
27.	Dyson Creek Mining Company, LLC	20-8080062
28.	El Segundo Coal Company, LLC	20-8162824
29.	Empire Land Holdings, LLC	61-1742786
30.	Falcon Coal Company, LLC	35-2006760
31.	Four Star Holdings, LLC	30-0885825
32.	Francisco Equipment Company, LLC	37-1805119
33.	Francisco Land Holdings Company, LLC	36-4831111
34.	Francisco Mining, LLC	30-0922117
35.	Gallo Finance Company, LLC	43-1823616
36.	Gold Fields Chile, LLC Gold Fields Mining, LLC	13-3004607
37. 38.	Gold Fields Mining, LLC Gold Fields Ortiz, LLC	36-2079582 22-2204381
39.	Hayden Gulch Terminal, LLC	86-0719481
40.	Highwall Mining Services Company	20-0010659
40.	Hillside Recreational Lands, LLC	32-0214135
42.	HMC Mining, LLC	43-1875853
43.	Illinois Land Holdings, LLC	26-1865197
44.	Independence Material Handling, LLC	43-1750064
45.	James River Coal Terminal, LLC	55-0643770
46.	Juniper Coal Company, LLC	43-1744675
47.	Kayenta Mobile Home Park, Inc.	86-0773596
48.	Kentucky Syngas, LLC	26-1156957
49.	Kentucky United Coal, LLC	35-2088769
50.	Lively Grove Energy, LLC	20-5752800
51.	Lively Grove Energy Partners, LLC	26-0180403
52.	Marigold Electricity, LLC	26-0180352
53.	Midco Supply and Equipment Corporation	43-6042249
54.	Midwest Coal Acquisition Corp.	20-0217640
55.	Midwest Coal Reserves of Illinois, LLC	20-3960648

	Debtor's Name	Debtor's EIN Number
56.	Midwest Coal Reserves of Indiana, LLC	20-3405958
57.	Midwest Coal Reserves of Kentucky, LLC	20-3405872
58.	Moffat County Mining, LLC	74-1869420
59.	Mustang Energy Company, LLC	43-1898532
60.	New Mexico Coal Resources, LLC	20-3405643
61.	NM Equipment Company, LLC	36-4821991
62.	Pacific Export Resources, LLC	27-5135144
63.	Peabody America, LLC	93-1116066
64.	Peabody Archveyor, L.L.C.	43-1898535
65.	Peabody Arclar Mining, LLC	31-1566354
66.	Peabody Asset Holdings, LLC	20-3367333
67.	Peabody Bear Run Mining, LLC	26-3582291
68.	Peabody Bear Run Services, LLC	26-3725923
69.	Peabody Caballo Mining, LLC	83-0309633
70.	Peabody Cardinal Gasification, LLC	20-5047955
71.	Peabody China, LLC	43-1898525
72.	Peabody Coalsales, LLC	20-1759740
73.	Peabody COALTRADE International (CTI), LLC	20-1435716
74.	Peabody COALTRADE, LLC	43-1666743
75.	Peabody Colorado Operations, LLC	20-2561644
76.	Peabody Colorado Services, LLC	26-3723774
77.	Peabody Coulterville Mining, LLC	20-0217834
78. 79.	Peabody Development Company, LLC Peabody Electricity, LLC	43-1265557 20-3405744
80.	Peabody Employment Services, LLC	26-3730348
81.	Peabody Employment Services, EEC Peabody Energy Generation Holding Company	73-1625891
82.	Peabody Energy Investments, Inc.	68-0541702
83.	Peabody Energy Solutions, Inc.	43-1753832
84.	Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC	27-2294407
85.	Peabody Gateway Notal Mining, EEC Peabody Gateway Services, LLC	26-3724075
86.	Peabody Holding Company, LLC	74-2666822
87.	Peabody Holdings (Gibraltar) Limited	20-5543587
88.	Peabody IC Funding Corporation	46-2326991
89.	Peabody IC Holdings, LLC	30-0829603
90.	Peabody Illinois Services, LLC	26-3722638
91.	Peabody Indiana Services, LLC	26-3724339
92.	Peabody International Investments, Inc.	26-1361182
93.	Peabody International Services, Inc.	20-8340434
94.	Peabody Investments Corp.	20-0480084
95.	Peabody Magnolia Grove Holdings, LLC	61-1683376
96.	Peabody Midwest Management Services, LLC	26-3726045
97.	Peabody Midwest Mining, LLC	35-1799736
98.	Peabody Midwest Operations, LLC	20-3405619
99.	Peabody Midwest Services, LLC	26-3722194
100.	Peabody Mongolia, LLC	20-8714315
101.	Peabody Natural Gas, LLC	43-1890836
102.	Peabody Natural Resources Company	51-0332232
103.	Peabody New Mexico Services, LLC	20-8162939
104.	Peabody Operations Holding, LLC	26-3723890
105.	Peabody Powder River Mining, LLC	43-0996010
106.	Peabody Powder River Operations, LLC	20-3405797
107.	Peabody Powder River Services, LLC	26-3725850 20-0116980
108. 109.	Peabody PowerTree Investments, LLC Peabody Recreational Lands, L.L.C.	43-1898382
110.	Peabody Recreational Lands, L.L.C. Peabody Rocky Mountain Management Services, LLC	26-3725390
110.	Peabody Rocky Mountain Management Services, LLC	20-8162706
111.	Peabody Sage Creek Mining, LLC	26-3730653
113.	Peabody School Creek Mining, LLC	20-3585831
113.	1 caudy behoof creek willing, LLC	20-3303031

Case 16-42529 Doc 24 Filed 04/13/16 Entered 04/13/16 11:23:04 Main Document Pg 19 of 19

	Debtor's Name	Debtor's EIN Number
114.	Peabody Services Holdings, LLC	26-3726126
115.	Peabody Southwest, LLC	20-5744732
116.	Peabody Southwestern Coal Company, LLC	43-1898372
117.	Peabody Terminal Holding Company, LLC	26-1087861
118.	Peabody Terminals, LLC	31-1035824
119.	Peabody Trout Creek Reservoir LLC	30-0746873
120.	Peabody Twentymile Mining, LLC	26-3725223
121.	Peabody Venezuela Coal Corp.	43-1609813
122.	Peabody Venture Fund, LLC	20-3405779
123.	Peabody-Waterside Development, L.L.C.	75-3098342
124.	Peabody Western Coal Company	86-0766626
125.	Peabody Wild Boar Mining, LLC	26-3730759
126.	Peabody Wild Boar Services, LLC	26-3725591
127.	Peabody Williams Fork Mining, LLC	20-8162742
128.	Peabody Wyoming Gas, LLC	20-5744610
129.	Peabody Wyoming Services, LLC	26-3723011
130.	PEC Equipment Company, LLC	20-0217950
131.	PG INVESTMENTS SIX, L.L.C.	43-1898530
132.	Point Pleasant Dock Company, LLC	20-0117005
133.	Pond River Land Company	73-1625893
134.	Porcupine Production, LLC	43-1898379
135.	Porcupine Transportation, LLC	43-1898380
136.	Riverview Terminal Company	13-2899722
137.	Sage Creek Holdings, LLC	26-3286872
138.	Sage Creek Land & Reserves, LLC	38-3936826
139.	School Creek Coal Resources, LLC	20-2902073
140.	Seneca Coal Company, LLC	84-1273892
141.	Seneca Property, LLC	36-4820253
142.	Shoshone Coal Corporation	25-1336898
143.	Southwest Coal Holdings, LLC	37-1794829
144.	Star Lake Energy Company, L.L.C.	43-1898533
145.	Sugar Camp Properties, LLC	35-2130006
146.	Thoroughbred Generating Company, L.L.C.	43-1898534
147.	Thoroughbred Mining Company LLC.	73-1625889
148.	Twentymile Coal, LLC	95-3811846
149.	Twentymile Equipment Company, LLC	38-3982017
150.	Twentymile Holdings, LLC	38-3937156
151.	United Minerals Company, LLC	35-1922432
152.	West Roundup Resources, LLC	20-2561489
153.	Wild Boar Equipment Company, LLC	32-0488114
154.	Wild Boar Land Holdings Company, LLC	36-4831131